

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,593	04/21/2004	Gary C. Brooks	47907.2.1	5553	
22859	22859 7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4000			WRIGHT, ANDREW D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2009	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/828,593	BROOKS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Wright	3617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Se</u>	eptember 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.		,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19,37-44 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>20-36</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,45 and 46 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-13</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
α _{/l}	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		te atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bareis et al. (US 4,075,723). Bareis shows a watercraft cover. The cover comprises a sheet of material. Any material has at least some degree of water repellency. Bareis shows first pocket (13) and second pocket (13). Each is attached to the sheet. Each receives a respective end of the support structure (7). Sleeve (21) is located between the pockets. The support (7) extends between the pockets through the sleeve. Strap (31) is attached to the sheet and bends the support when the strap is tightened around the hull. The support and sheet are shown in an assembled (bent) fashion in figs 1-3. The support and sheet can be removed together from the boat and unbent into a flat configuration without separating the support form the sheet. This constitutes being collapsible together as broadly recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,593

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claims 1, 2, 45, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bareis et al. (US 4,075,723). Bareis contains the elements of claim 1. Regarding claim 2, Bareis shows two cords (23, 25). Cord (25) appears to be an extension of the sheet and pocket which are made of vinyl coated nylon. Cord (23) may be of nylon or any other suitable material. A suitable and well known material for cords (ropes) on boats is cotton rope. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bareis by using a cotton rope for cord (23). The motivation would be to use common materials found on boats. The two cords (23) and (25) would necessarily have different elasticity due to their different cross-sectional shape and different materials. One would necessarily be relatively more elastic than the other, and the other would necessarily be relatively more inelastic. Claims 45 and 46, Bareis shows the structure but does not explicitly disclose the recited method steps. The method steps, however, are inherent in the making and use of the Bareis system. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to devise the claimed method steps based upon operation of the system disclosed by Bareis. The motivation would be to make and use the Bareis system.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 20-36 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 3-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3617

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 45, 46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number 571-272-6690. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at 571-272-6684. **The Central FAX**

Art Unit: 3617

Number for official communications is 571-273-8300. The fax number directly to the examiner for unofficial communications is 571-273-6690.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617

ANDREW D. WARGHT PRINGERY EXCONOMICA PRINGERY for 11/10/x